### COURT-I

Before the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity (Appellate Jurisdiction)

# <u>Appeal No. 23 of 2014</u> & I.A. Nos. 30 of 2014, 31 of 2014 & 93 of 2014

#### <u>And</u>

#### <u>Appeal No. 65 of 2014</u>

Dated : 11<sup>th</sup> September, 2014 Hon'ble Mr. Justice M. Karpaga Vinayagam, Chairperson **Present:** Hon'ble Mr. Rakesh Nath, Technical Member Vidarbha Industries Association Appellant(s) ••• Versus Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission & Anr. Respondent(s) ••• Counsel for the Appellant (s) : Mr. Chinmoy Pradip Sharma Mr. Sharad Sharma **Counsel for the Respondent(s) :** Mr. Buddy A. Ranganadhan for R.1 Mr. Samir Malik for R-2 Appeal No. 65 of 2014 Sterlite Technologies Ltd. Appellant(s) ••• Versus Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission & Anr. Respondent(s) ••• Counsel for the Appellant (s) : Mr. Amit Kapur Mr. Abhishek Munot Mr. Buddy A. Ranganadhan for R.1 **Counsel for the Respondent(s) :** Mr. Samir Malik for R-2

### ORDER

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

It is noticed that the Order passed on 05.09.2013 has already been set aside in Appeal No. 295 of 2013, and the matter has been remanded for re-determination. Consequently, the impugned Order dated 29.10.2013 challenged in this Appeal has also to be set aside and remanded for re-determination. Accordingly, Ordered.

In view of the above Order, it is open to the Appellants to approach the Distribution Company for refund of the amount, which has been collected earlier.

With these observations, both the Appeals are disposed of.

(Rakesh Nath) Technical Member

## (Justice M. Karpaga Vinayagam) Chairperson

Ts/kt